

REMARKS

The requested amendments are not matters of substance and they will require very little consideration by the examiner.

In claim 1, line 7, the term "phase locking condition" was changed to "—phase locking—" to be consistent with wording of the specification, such as on page 18, line 24.

In claim 6, the wording of the claim stating that "carrier signals have frequencies that are contained within a predetermined frequency band to allow for frequency separation" was changed to indicate that carrier signals have predetermined frequencies to be consistent with the wording of the specification, such as on page 25, line 1 to 7.

In claim 11, line 3, minor editorial corrections were made.

In claim 11, parts of line 5 and line 6 were deleted to be consistent with the wording in the specification, such as on page 21, lines 17 to 18.

in claim 16, a minor editorial correction was made.


Claim 17 claims a communication system shown in Figure 1 of the drawings and described throughout the specification, such as on page 9, line 19 to page 10, line 9. The use of the system claimed in the claim 17 as a carrier interference multiple access communication system is described throughout the specification, such as on page 18, line 17 to 22.

Claim 18 claims a transmission system described in the specification, such as on page 9, line 19 to page 10, line 9. The use of the transmission system claimed in the claim 17 as a carrier interference multiple access transmission system is described throughout the specification, such as on page 18, line 17 to 22.

Claim 19 claims a receiver for receiving and decoding delay-encoded CIMA signals as described in the specification, such as on page 23, line 24 to page 24, line 10.

Claim 20 claims a receiver that separates interfering multicarrier signals as described in the specification, such as on page 24, lines 24 to 27.

Very Respectfully,



Steve J. Shattil
4980 Meredith Way #201
Boulder, CO 80303
(303) 546-9244

60760" TELESCOPE